1		TESTIMONY OF A. R. WATTS
2		FOR
3 4		THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
5		
6 7		DOCKET NO. 2004-3-E
8		IN RE: DUKE POWER
9 10		Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs
11		Aminual Review of Buse Rules for Luci Costs
12		
13	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
14		OCCUPATION?
15	A.	A. R. Watts, 101 Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am employed
16		by The Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Utilities Department, as Chief
17		of Electric.
18	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
19		EXPERIENCE.
20	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the
21		University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1976. I was employed at that time by
22		this Commission as a Utilities Engineer in the Electric Department and was
23		promoted to Chief of the Electric Department in August 1981. I have been in my
24		current position since October 1999. I have testified before this Commission in
25		conjunction with fuel clause, complaint, territorial assignment, siting, and general
26		rate proceedings.
27	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
28		PROCEEDING?
29	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize Staff's findings as set forth in the
30		Utilities Department's portion of the Staff Report.
31	Q.	WHAT SPECIFIC AREAS WERE ENCOMPASSED BY
32		STAFF'S EXAMINATION?

24

25

- 1 **A.** The Utilities Department's examination of the Company's fuel operations consisted,
 2 in part, of a review of the Company's monthly operating reports, review of the
 3 currently approved Adjustment For Fuel Costs tariff, and review of the Company's
 4 short-term projections of kilowatt-hour sales and fuel costs.
- Q. DID STAFF EXAMINE THE COMPANY'S PLANT OPERATIONS FOR
 THE PERIOD?
- Yes, we reviewed the Company's operation of its generating facilities, including special attention to the nuclear plant operations, to determine if the Company made every reasonable effort to minimize fuel costs.
- 10 Q. HAVE YOU DETERMINED THAT ANY SITUATIONS WARRANT
 11 DETERMINATION THAT THE COMPANY HAS ACTED
 12 UNREASONABLY IN OPERATING ITS FACILITIES AND THEREBY
 13 CAUSING ITS CUSTOMERS TO BE SUBJECT TO PAYING HIGHER
 14 FUEL COSTS?
- 15 **A.** No, the Company's generating facilities operated well during the period under 16 review. The actual average nuclear system capacity factor was 89.66%, which 17 included five full or partial refueling outages and replacement of the Reactor Vessel 18 Heads at the three Oconee Units as well as replacing the Steam Generators at two of 19 these Units during the review period. The major fossil units averaged over 90% 20 availability for the majority of the period under review. As shown on Utilities 21 Department Exhibit No. 1, major activities included were upgrades to the controls 22 and installation of Selective Catalytic Reduction systems at both Belews Units 1 and 23 2.
 - Q. WOULD YOU BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE REMAINING UTILITIES DEPARTMENT'S EXHIBITS?
- A. Yes. Exhibit No. 2 shows the Company's Major Plant Outages for the months of
 April 2003 through March 2004, listing the plants by unit, duration of the outage,
 reason for the outage, and corrective action taken. Exhibit No. 3 lists the Company's
 percentage Generation Mix by fossil, nuclear, and hydro for the period April 2003
 through March 2004. Exhibit No. 4 reflects the Company's major plants by

1	name, type of fuel used, average fuel cost in cents per KWH to operate, and total
2	megawatt-hours generated for the twelve months ending March 2004. Exhibit
3	No. 5 shows a comparison of the Company's original retail megawatt-hour estimated
4	sales to the actual sales for the period under review. Exhibit No. 6 shows the data
5	from Exhibit No. 5 in graphical form. Exhibit No. 7 is a comparison of the original
6	fuel factor projections to the factors actually experienced for the twelve months
7	ending March 2004. Exhibit No. 8 is a graphical representation of the data in
8	Exhibit No. 7. Exhibit No. 9 is the Company's currently approved retail
9	Adjustment For Fuel Costs tariff. Exhibit No. 10 is a history of the cumulative
10	recovery account. Exhibit No. 11 is a table of estimates for the cumulative recovery
11	account balance for various base level fuel factors for the period ending May
12	2005.

13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

14 A. Yes, it does.